

Final Ordinance + map review

Georgetown Map

Cond Ord. # 13-09

- approval/standing
notwithstanding → 1) missing f.W. (IWWH) North of Tibbets Ln, East of Bay Pt. Rd
2) delete definition "developed area"

- 3) current salt marshes/meadows that must be RP

R2 L11 - (only house existing - large lot)

R2 L2 - house > 250' from c.w.

R3 L7-23 - no development

R3 L7-24 - house ≈ 450' + from c.w.

R3 L7-17 - not developed (part of shoreline that shouldn't have been developed...)

R4 L26-9 - not developed (" " " " ..)

R6 L7E - has ^{one} house, but built when it was RP(??) - only house along shoreline

R8 L1-6 - has one house, but built in RP - only structure along shoreline here

R8 L1-5 - not developed

R8 L1-4 - not developed

R8 L1-3 - not developed

R8 L1-2 - developed ~1 house in RP? Only house in SLZ along shoreline

R8 L1-1 - house outside SLZ

R6 L49 - one house in SLZ - only house in this area of shoreline - large lot

818f8 - developed while RP

"developed area" definition - areas already approved (as of 11/93) for subdiv. or development or for which the lot has HTE 200 -

~~R2 L11, R2 L17C, R2 L9A, R2 L21A~~

How were R4 L26-8 / 26-7, R3 L7-15 / L7-16 all developed w/in 250' RP???

REDACTED